

Disclosure Statement

Operating Principles for Impact Management


WaterEquity

April 8, 2021

WaterEquity affirms its status as a signatory to the Operating Principles for Impact Management (the Principles). This Disclosure Statement affirms that all WaterEquity funds operate in alignment with the Principles. As of April 8, 2021, WaterEquity had USD 182 million in assets under management and committed capital across two funds.



Paul O'Connell, Ph.D.
President
WaterEquity



Gary White
Co-founder and Chief Executive Officer
WaterEquity



Principle 1 – Define strategic impact objective(s), consistent with the investment strategy:

The Manager shall define strategic impact objectives for the portfolio or fund to achieve positive and measurable social or environmental effects, which are aligned with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), or other widely accepted goals. The impact intent does not need to be shared by the investee. The Manager shall seek to ensure that the impact objectives and investment strategy are consistent; that there is a credible basis for achieving the impact objectives through the investment strategy; and that the scale and/or intensity of the intended portfolio impact is proportionate to the size of the investment portfolio.

- WaterEquity is the first asset manager to provide investors competitive market returns by exclusively focusing on solving the most urgent issue of our time - the global water and climate crisis. Founded by award-winning entrepreneur Gary White and Matt Damon of Water.org and led by Paul O’Connell, Ph.D., the success of WaterEquity is built on decades of experience investing in water and sanitation in emerging markets, delivering proven social and financial returns.
- WaterEquity invests in high-performing financial institutions and enterprises in emerging markets delivering access to safe water and sanitation to millions of low-income families around the world.
- WaterEquity’s investment strategy contributes to the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), specifically SDG6 directly through targets 6.1 and 6.2—universal access to safely managed water and sanitation.
- Investing in safe water and sanitation also leads to multifold, positive impact for families, communities, and the environment. The evidence base for water and sanitation indicates outcomes linked to multiple SDGs, including No Poverty (1), Good Health & Well-Being (3), Gender Equity (5), Decent Work and Economic Growth (8) and Climate Action (13).
- Prior to launching a fund, WaterEquity develops an investment strategy that considers: 1) how the strategy contributes to the goal of universal access to safe water and sanitation; 2) the evidence base for the strategy; and 3) how the strategy compares to other opportunities that WaterEquity could consider. This process ensures that each fund’s investment strategy is aligned with the organizational mission and is an effective use of WaterEquity’s resources.

Principle 2 – Manage strategic impact on a portfolio basis: *The Manager shall have a process to manage impact achievement on a portfolio basis. The objective of the process is to establish and monitor impact performance for the whole portfolio, while recognizing that impact may vary across individual investments in the portfolio. As part of the process, the Manager shall consider*



aligning staff incentive systems with the achievement of impact, as well as with financial performance.

- WaterEquity manages impact at a portfolio level and sets fund-level targets for social return. Decision-making for portfolio construction incorporates both financial and social returns, and portfolio management includes ongoing monitoring of both.
- WaterEquity’s process to manage impact at the portfolio level includes evaluating the impact of the portfolio, structuring the investments to facilitate impact, and monitoring the impact at the individual investment and portfolio levels. Reporting on social performance focuses primarily on the key performance indicator (KPI), shared across every fund—the number of people reached with access to water and/or sanitation. However, in addition to this KPI, WaterEquity assesses the social return of fund portfolios across multiple dimensions of impact, recognizing that each investment may have a different impact profile and therefore contribute to different kinds of social performance relative to the financial return.¹ *(Refer to Principle 4 for more about this social impact rating.)*
- Each member of the investment management team is responsible for integrating impact into the investment process; this is reflected in annual performance reviews. In addition, WaterEquity’s staff incentive system incorporates company performance, including measures of both financial return and impact, to determine employee incentive payments.

Principle 3 – Establish the Manager’s contribution to the achievement of impact:

The Manager shall seek to establish and document a credible narrative on its contribution to the achievement of impact for each investment. Contributions can be made through one or more financial and/or non-financial channels. The narrative should be stated in clear terms and supported, as much as possible, by evidence.

- Global investment in water and sanitation must triple to achieve SDG6, and increasing private investment is essential to reach the necessary investment levels. WaterEquity’s mission is to accelerate private capital investments in the sector, both through our own assets under management and by demonstrating that water and sanitation is a viable investment opportunity. Therefore, every investment represents an opportunity to contribute to impact experienced by the individual end-clients of that company, and also an opportunity to contribute to systems change in unleashing

¹ WaterEquity participates in the Impact Frontiers Collaborative, with the goal of integrating risk, return, and impact. Read more at: <https://impactmanagementproject.com/about/impact-frontiers/>



private capital markets for SDG6. This systems-change narrative is articulated at both the organizational and fund level.

- At the individual investment level, WaterEquity considers its contribution to each investment's projected impact based on the impact score described in the Principle 4 below. Every investment is scored for the level of investor contribution as part of the comprehensive impact scoring process, although a high contribution score is not required of every deal. This "Acceleration" section of the impact score includes objective indicators to identify the level of investor contribution for each individual deal.

Principle 4 – Assess the expected impact of each investment, based on a systematic approach:

For each investment the Manager shall assess, in advance and, where possible, quantify the concrete, positive impact potential deriving from the investment. The assessment should use a suitable results measurement framework that aims to answer these fundamental questions: (1) What is the intended impact? (2) Who experiences the intended impact? (3) How significant is the intended impact? The Manager shall also seek to assess the likelihood of achieving the investment's expected impact. In assessing the likelihood, the Manager shall identify the significant risk factors that could result in the impact varying from ex-ante expectations. In assessing the impact potential, the Manager shall seek evidence to assess the relative size of the challenge addressed within the targeted geographical context. The Manager shall also consider opportunities to increase the impact of the investment. Where possible and relevant for the Manager's strategic intent, the Manager may also consider indirect and systemic impacts. Indicators shall, to the extent possible, be aligned with industry standards and follow best practice.

- WaterEquity's investment process incorporates impact at each stage. During the screening stage, WaterEquity evaluates the potential borrower as a fit for the fund's impact profile and develops initial impact projections (e.g., number of people reached). During due diligence, WaterEquity evaluates each potential deal across multiple dimensions of impact to assess its fit with the fund's impact objective, determine final impact projections, and produce an overall impact score.
- WaterEquity calculates the impact score by rating the investment across five dimensions, based on the Impact Management Project's impact management norms:
 - How many people will the investment reach? This includes the total potential for scale and the projected people reached with access to water and sanitation.
 - What type of water and sanitation impact does the investment support? This includes an assessment of the different types of benefits from the specific



water and sanitation facilities financed, for example benefits to health, well-being, and labor savings.

- Who does the investment reach? This includes an assessment of gender, income levels, relative poverty levels, and relative need for water and sanitation in each geographical context.
 - Will the investment accelerate the market solution for water and sanitation access? This includes an analysis of the borrower's potential for growing their water and sanitation-related business, and WaterEquity's contribution to impact.
 - What is the risk that impact will not occur as intended? This includes elements of historical performance or practices that could negatively affect one of the above dimensions.
- WaterEquity evaluates the impact projections and score for each potential investment alongside the broader risk/return profile, including financial return, credit risk, country risk, and environmental, social, and governance (ESG) risk. The impact projections and score directly inform investment terms such as investment amount and tenor, as well as the final investment decision.

Principle 5 – Assess, address, monitor, and manage potential negative impacts of each investment:

For each investment the Manager shall seek, as part of a systematic and documented process, to identify and avoid, and if avoidance is not possible, mitigate and manage Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) risks. Where appropriate, the Manager shall engage with the investee to seek its commitment to take action to address potential gaps in current investee systems, processes, and standards, using an approach aligned with good international industry practice. As part of portfolio management, the Manager shall monitor investees' ESG risk and performance, and where appropriate, engage with the investee to address gaps and unexpected events.

- WaterEquity undertakes ESG analysis of every potential investment. This analysis identifies key risk areas across the environment, employees, and clients. Through this process, WaterEquity may set ESG-related improvement requirements for potential borrowers either as milestones during the investment, or as conditions precedent to the investment.
- WaterEquity bases this ESG analysis on industry standards, including the IFC's Environmental and Performance Standards, the Sustainability Accounting Standards



Board, and relevant industry standards such as ISO standards for SMEs and the Client Protection Principles for Financial Institutions.

- Reporting requirements for borrowers include ESG.

Principle 6 – Monitor the progress of each investment in achieving impact against expectations and respond appropriately:

The Manager shall use the results framework (referenced in Principle 4) to monitor progress toward the achievement of positive impacts in comparison to the expected impact for each investment. Progress shall be monitored using a predefined process for sharing performance data with the investee. To the best extent possible, this shall outline how often data will be collected; the method for data collection; data sources; responsibilities for data collection; and how, and to whom, data will be reported. When monitoring indicates that the investment is no longer expected to achieve its intended impacts, the Manager shall seek to pursue appropriate action. The Manager shall also seek to use the results framework to capture investment outcomes.

- Social impact targets are incorporated into loan agreements or other investment documentation. WaterEquity monitors investment performance against social impact targets, using quarterly reporting of financial, operational, and social indicators via standardized reporting templates. Early detection of challenges and shortfalls enables timely corrective action to maximize social impact.
- WaterEquity also manages impact at the portfolio level. Quarterly reporting includes assessments of the portfolio’s progress against impact targets and aggregates social performance of the portfolio.
- In addition to self-reported quarterly updates, WaterEquity has the right to visit, inspect, and evaluate investees to confirm the accuracy of reported information. For a sample of the portfolio, WaterEquity verifies self-reported data by conducting end-client surveys via founding organization Water.org or third-party consultants. These surveys verify that the water and/or sanitation improvement was completed, gather end-client feedback, and test ex-ante assumptions about outcomes.
- If an investee does not demonstrate use of investment proceeds for water and sanitation impact as agreed, WaterEquity works with the investee to improve results, and has the option to enforce the covenants of the investment agreement when necessary.
- WaterEquity produces quarterly reports for investors and fund dashboards for internal review that assess both financial and social performance. Indicators align with IRIS+ standards.



Principle 7 – Conduct exits considering the effect on sustained impact: *When conducting an exit, the Manager shall, in good faith and consistent with its fiduciary concerns, consider the effect which the timing, structure, and process of its exit will have on the sustainability of the impact.*

- The investee’s continued commitment to water and sanitation and their track record of social performance are important considerations in the decision to extend or renew a loan, or to exit an investment.

Principle 8 – Review, document, and improve decisions and processes based on the achievement of impact and lessons learned: *The Manager shall review and document the impact performance of each investment, compare the expected and actual impact, and other positive and negative impacts, and use these findings to improve operational and strategic investment decisions, as well as management processes.*

- At maturity, WaterEquity reviews the social performance of each investment. This review compares outcomes at the end of loan terms to targets established at the due diligence phase. It also looks at any verification survey results.
- In addition, the Investment Management team reviews internal policies and tools annually, and revises them based on outcomes.

Principle 9 – Publicly disclose alignment with the Principles and provide regular independent verification of the alignment: *The Manager shall publicly disclose, on an annual basis, the alignment of its impact management systems with the Principles and, at regular intervals, arrange for independent verification of this alignment. The conclusions of this verification report shall also be publicly disclosed. These disclosures are subject to fiduciary and regulatory concerns.*

- This Disclosure Note re-affirms the alignment of WaterEquity’s procedures with the Principles and will be reviewed annually.
- WaterEquity engaged Luminis Advisors to conduct an independent verification of this alignment in December 2020. The independent assurance letter is included in the Appendix.
- WaterEquity will replicate this independent assurance by December 2024 or when material changes are made to impact-related policies and procedures, whichever comes first.



Independent Verification Report

Independent Verification:

Luminis Advisors, LLC (“Verifier”) was asked to verify that the impact management system (“IMS”) of WaterEquity, Inc, (“WaterEquity”) as described in its internal policies and procedures (collectively, the “Policies”) and summarized in its disclosure statement dated August 19, 2020 (the “Statement”), is aligned with the Operating Principles for Impact Management (each, a “Principle” and collectively, the “Principles”), in accordance with Principle 9. WaterEquity reports total assets under management in alignment with the Principles of USD 60 million as of March 10, 2020 (the “Covered Assets”).

Methodology and Scope of Independent Verification:

- Verify that the Policies address, and are in alignment with, each of the Principles.
- Assess the relevance, completeness, reliability, neutrality, and understandability of WaterEquity’s IMS, as outlined in the Policies and summarized in the Statement.
- Interview key members of WaterEquity’s management team responsible for the implementation of its IMS, as outlined in the Policies and summarized in the Statement.
- Perform a comprehensive review of WaterEquity’s IMS-related internal documentation to verify their alignment with the Principles.
- Verify that all Covered Assets align with the Principles.
- *N.B.: The scope of this Independent Verification does not include any assessment of the adequacy or effectiveness of WaterEquity’s IMS, nor any verification or qualification of the results achieved.*

Verifier Statement:

Based on the procedures performed and the review conducted, Verifier believes that WaterEquity’s Statement is aligned, in all material respects, with the Principles, as it pertains to the Covered Assets.

Sebastian von Stauffenberg
Principal and Founder
Luminis Advisors, LLC
December 21, 2020



About Luminis Advisors, LLC

Luminis Advisors, LLC (“Luminis”) is an independent advisory firm that provides a range of solutions to the impact investment market based on deep industry knowledge, expert risk analysis, and a comprehensive suite of benchmark data. Since its founding in 2014, Luminis has worked with a wide range of stakeholders, including asset managers, investors, as well as other service providers, and completed more than 300 evaluations of over 120 impact investment funds. For more information, please contact Sebastian von Stauffenberg (sebastian@luminisadvisors.com).

Operating Principles of Impact Management – Verifier findings¹:

Principle	Score*	Comments
P1. Define strategic impact objective(s), consistent with the investment strategy	✓+	Clear and simple definition of impact objective and target that is fully consistent with investment strategy.
P2. Manage strategic impact on a portfolio basis	✓	Focus is on individual investment impact, whereas strategic impact on a portfolio level is still being developed and standardized.
P3. Establish the Manager's contribution to the achievement of impact	✓	Clear and credible narrative with regards to impact objective (ensuring access to water supply and sanitation), backed by data and research. However, the secondary impact objective (acceleration of private capital investments that contribute to unleashing private capital markets) is less clear.
P4. Assess the expected impact of each investment, based on a systematic approach	✓+	Screening, due diligence, and investment process is consistent, thorough, and well documented.
P5. Assess, address, monitor, and manage potential negative impacts of each investment	✓+	Reporting and impact gap measurement are excellent. ESG risk analysis is outstanding, and proposed changes could improve ESG report.
P6. Monitor the progress of each investment in achieving impact against expectations and respond appropriately	✓+	Systematic impact monitoring and reporting, with focus on impact score and ESG in addition to credit score.
P7. Conduct exits considering the effect on sustained impact	✓	Impact results play an integral part in the review process for renewal investments. Nonetheless, there is no formal "exit policy" <i>per se</i> integrated therein.
P8. Review, document, and improve decisions and processes based on the achievement of impact and lessons learned	✓	Excellent review and documentation of impact performance, complete with feedback loop for integrating new policies/procedures based on lessons learned. Analysis of opportunities missed, in addition to the current presentation of mitigation strategies, could be an improvement.

* Scoring scale:

- ✓+ Alignment with Principle exceeds expectations
- ✓ Aligned with Principle
- ✓- Aligned with Principle but with room for improvement
- X Not aligned with Principle

¹ The decision to publicly disclose these Verifier findings, and/or the specific score(s) assigned to each Principle, is left to the sole discretion of WaterEquity.